UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/558,359	11/22/2006	Atsuhiko Ohta	AI 394NP	3869
23995 RABIN & Berd	7590 07/08/200 lo. PC	EXAMINER		
1101 14TH STI		YEE, DEBORAH		
SUITE 500 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/558,359	OHTA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah Yee	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 /	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 8-12 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2005 is/	awn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 to 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8 and 10 to 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patents 2003-166036 ("Ota") which was cited by Applicant in IDS filed November 25, 2005.
- 4. The English abstract of Ota discloses a steering rack made from steel bar that meets the steel bar recited by the claims and in paragraphs [0040] teaches further processing steel bar to form steering rack by drawing and cutting to form main body and rack teeth such that the tooth form section is induction hardened with a depth of D/4 to obtain a surface hardness of 600-800HV which encompasses and teaches the tooth form section surface hardness of 680 to 800HV recited in claim 8.
- 5. In addition, Ota in paragraph [0046] teaches rack teeth forming portion includes a teeth bottom portion subjected to induction hardening to achieve an effective case depth but does not specify the depth of 0.1 to 1.5 mm or 0.3 to 1.2 mm as recited by respective claims 10 and 11. Nevertheless, depth hardening would be matter of choice

Art Unit: 1793

and routine optimization well within the skill of the artisan to select depending on the desired level of hardenability sought which is productive of no new and unexpected results.

6. In regard to claim 12, no residual ferrite contained in the teeth bottom portion in a 0.1 mm deep region from a surface thereof would be expected by Ota because prior art steering rack is formed with the same steel bar and is processed in substantially the same manner as claimed by Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claim 8 recites "steering rack formed using the steel bar and including a main body and a rack teeth forming portion" which does not clearly defined the present invention. It is recommended to use language such as ---steering rack formed using the steel bar to produce a main body and a rack teeth forming portion---
- 10. Claim 9 recites "a portion present at a depth of (3/4)D (D is a diameter of the steel bar)" which does not clearly define the invention since D is the diameter of main body of steering rack and not of the steel bar, See paragraph [0069] in Applicant's specification.

Art Unit: 1793

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art of record does not teach or suggest a steering rack formed using a steel bar, as recited by the claim 9, wherein steering rack comprises a main body and a rack teeth forming portion wherein the portion of depth of (3/4)D (D is diameter of the main body) from a surface that opposes the rack teeth forming portion in the radius direction is quenched and tempered in such a manner to produce a microstructure that satisfies conditions I), II) and III) as follows: a sum of tempered bainitic structure and tempered martensitic structure of 30 to 100% in area percentage III) a regenerated perlite structure accounts for 0 to 50% in area percentage IIII) a sum of the tempered bainitic structure, the tempered martensitic structure, and the regenerated perlite structure accounts for 50 to 100% in area percentage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00 am-2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

/DY/